

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,037	05/10/2005	Christian Uphoff	123478	4702
25944	7590 12/05/2005	·	EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		BARRY, CHESTER T		
P.O. BOX 199	28			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1724
DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			``			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/531,037	UPHOFF, CHRISTIAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chester T. Barry	1724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2005</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection to the correction to the correction to the correction of the correc	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 – 5, 7, 10-12, 14-15 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 102(b) as anticipated by Heller.

USP 5194161 to Heller describes a process for the purification of water, characterized in that a solution containing a proportion of a mixed microbiotic culture (col 15 line 55) is added to the water in an environment (body of oil-contaminated water) having catalytic activity (Heller claims 1-4). The catalytically active environment is brought about by catalytically active surfaces, e.g., bead surfaces. A ceramic (col 3 line 46) surface doped with catalyst substances is used as said catalytically active surface. Inorganic catalyst compounds are used as catalyst substances, e.g., titanium dioxide. Per claim 7, the mixed culture contains luminous bacteria, e.g., Beneckea (Heller, Table 1, applicant [0028]), and photosynthetically active microorganisms, e.g., Erwinia, in a biological solution. Per claim 9, as noted above. Heller describes use of mixed cultures of the microorganisms listed in Table 1. Per claim 10, Heller describes the solution containing trace elements and/or other microorganisms. Per claim 11, being a natural body of water, the water is naturally stirred continuously or intermittently by the wind. Per claim 12, Heller describes the use of the invention to purify process effluents. Claim 14 is met because natural bodies of water are public places. Per claim 15, Heller describes the use of algae-decomposing fungi.²

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heller as applied to claim 5 above, further in view of USP 6686309. Heller does not appear to describe tile as a catalyst support. The '309 describes tile as a conventional support for heterogeneous catalysis. It would have been obvious to have substituted tile for

[0164] As noted above, a "phytoene desaturase" is an enzyme that introduces two desaturations in phytoene to produce [.zeta.]-carotene, as in plants and cyanobacteria; three desaturations to produce neurosporene, as in Rhodobacter; or four desaturations to produce lycopene, as in <u>Erwinia and other photosynthetic</u> bacteria (Garcia-Asua et al., Trends Plant Sci., 1998, 3:445-449).

(emphasis added)

Fungi tend to be relatively minor components of the marine microflora, increasing in numbers in nearshore regions, the intertidal zone, and salt marshes and mangrove areas. Fungi are important inhabitants of specialized niches such as submerged wood, the surface film of water, decomposing algae, and the surface of tarballs. A hydrocarbon-degrading fungus, Cladosporium resinae, tends to degrade petroleum if added as an inoculum.

¹ US 20020051998 A1 reads:

² Heller states:

Art Unit: 1724

Heller's ceramic support in view of the recognition in the catalytic processing field of endeavor that tile is a suitable support for heterogeneous catalysis, as shown by '309.

Claims 8, 9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heller. Given Heller's description of use of photosynthetic bacteria, i.e., Erwinia, it would have been obvious to have used any other photosynthetic microorganisms, e.g., facultatively phototropic microorganisms, or combinations thereof. Per claim 9, as noted above, Heller describes use of mixed cultures of the microorganisms listed in Table 1. So it would have been obvious to have selected any combination of Vibrio, Pseudomonas, or Beneckea bacteria for use in the invention. Per claim 13, it would have been obvious to have used Heller's technology to purify any plant effluent stream, e.g., pump sumps and waters in purification plants.

571-272-1152

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER